UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/651,599	08/29/2003	Raymond A Davis	MICR-155US	9701
68551 RatnerPrestia	7590 12/11/200		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 980			LAM, HUNG H	
VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/651,599	DAVIS, RAYMOND A			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		HUNG H. LAM	2622			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMENTED IN CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Designs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. On period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09/0</u>	03/08				
·		s action is non-final.				
3)	, 					
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-36 and 38-41</u> is/are pending in the application.					
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-35 and 38-41</u> is/are allowed.					
	✓ Claim(s) 36 is/are rejected.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
•	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08/29/03</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
2) Notice (3) Inform	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/651,599 Page 2

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendments, filed on 09/03/08, have been entered and made of record.

2. In view of the applicant's amendment to claim 36, the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph is hereby withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 36 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kubo (US-6,639,626) in view of Umeda (JP02-248,170) and further in view of Juen (US-5,812,703).

Regarding **claim 36**, Kubo discloses a method of operating an electronic apparatus having first and second image modules, said method comprising:

first capturing a scene by the first image module while the second image module is turned/switched off (Col. 14, Ln.22-Col. 15, Ln. 15);

previewing the scene on a display (Col. 15, Ln. 1-16);

turning/switching on the second image module after the capturing of the scene by the first image module (Col. 15, Ln. 16-31); and

second capturing, immediately after the turning/switching on of the second image module, the scene at a higher resolution than the previewed scene using the second image module based on the previewed scene (Col. 15, Ln. 16-40).

However, Kubo fails to explicitly disclose that first capturing a scene by the first image module while the second image module is power off.

powering on the second image module after the capturing of the scene by the first image module; and second capturing, immediately after the powering on of the second image mode.

In the same field of endeavor, Umeda teaches a camera system having a power supply means for starting and stopping power supply to relevant parts of a camera via a control command (Means of Solving these Problems: section 4-5; page 4). Umeda further teaches to stop supplying power to CCD, CCD driver 10, AD and DSP parts that are not necessary while supplying power to the remaining parts of the camera including control system, memory card, power circuit 1, 11 and 2 12 (page 5). In light of the teaching from Umeda, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art

at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Kubo to stop supplying power to the second image module and only supply power to the second image module when necessary as taught by Umeda. The modifications thus efficiently utilize the battery power and extend battery's life (Umeda: page 5: second paragraph; page 7: section 13).

However, Kubo in view of Umeda fails to explicitly disclose such that fixed pattern noise in the second capturing scene is reduced when the second image module has been powered off during the first capturing of the scene relative to if the second image module had been powered on during the first capturing of the scene.

In the same field of endeavor, Juen teaches that In general, when long-time exposure is effected by means of an imaging device such as CCD, the dark current of the imaging device greatly increases, thereby yielding a high dark output. It has been known that the dark current is generated with a time-lag, whereby the dark current suddenly increases after exposure is continued for more than a certain time. Accordingly, even in the case where the dark current is such an amount that it is not problematic and cannot be recognized as a change in the fixed pattern noise in a normal exposure time, it may not be neglected any more when the exposure time becomes so long that it reaches one second (Col. 10, Ln. 10-23). In light of the teaching from Juen, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Kubo and Umeda to power off the second image module while the first image module is powered on in order to reduce exposure time and dark current in the second image module. The modifications thus provide a

means for reducing dark current/ fixed pattern noise and unnecessary power consumption.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1-35 and 38-41 are allowed as set forth by the Non Final Office Action mailed 06/16/08.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a) Tsikos (US-2008/0,156,882) discloses CCD image sensors that are disabled during normal operation so that image sensors nominal noise pattern.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Page 6

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to HUNG H. LAM whose telephone number is (571)272-

7367. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8AM - 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, SINH TRAN can be reached on 571-272-7564. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HL

12/04/08

Application/Control Number: 10/651,599 Page 7

Art Unit: 2622

/Sinh N Tran/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2622